

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—That is exactly the reason why it was modified.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪುಟ್ಟಸ್ವಾಮಿ.—ಅಡ್ವಾಸು ಇಂಕ್ರಿ ಮೆಂಟುಗಳನ್ನು ಯಾರು ಯಾರಿಗೆ ಎಷ್ಟೆಷ್ಟು ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿತ್ತೆಂಬುದನ್ನು ಹೇಳುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆಯೇ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—Yes. The names are N. T. Ramiah Gowda, Chinnappa, Muniswamy, Subramanyam, Abdul Gaffar. Three are attenders and the others are first division clerks.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪುಟ್ಟಸ್ವಾಮಿ.—ಅವರನ್ನು ಯಾವ ಯಾವ ಕಾರಣಗಳಿಗಾಗಿ ವಜಾ ಮಾಡಲಾಯಿತೆಂಬುದನ್ನು ಹೇಳುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆಯೇ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—It was felt that advance increments should not be given unless there is reason for it and I may straightaway say, Sir, that it is not this Ministry that has done something wrong, and to give reasons and explanations, it would not be conducive to the atmosphere.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪುಟ್ಟಸ್ವಾಮಿ.—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ಇದೂ ಅಲ್ಲದೆ, ಹಿಂದೆ ಇದ್ದ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಆಪ್ತರಾಗಿ ತೀರ್ಮಾನ ಮಾಡಲಾಗಿದ್ದ ಎಷ್ಟೋ ಕೇಸುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಆ ಆರ್ಡರುಗಳನ್ನು ಪುನಃ ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆ ಮಾಡಲಾಗುತ್ತದೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಹನುಮಂತಯ್ಯನವರು.—ಎಷ್ಟೋ ಕೇಸುಗಳೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರೆ ಅದನ್ನು ಒಪ್ಪಿ ಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಕೆಲವು ಕೇಸುಗಳನ್ನು ಸ್ಟೆಪಲ್ ಕಾರಣಗಳಮೇಲೆ ರಿವೈಸ್ ಮಾಡಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣಗಳನ್ನೂ ಸಹ ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಲ್ಯಾಂಡ್ ಅಕ್ವಿಜಿಷನ್ ಕೇಸುಗಳನ್ನು ಅಕ್ವಿಜಿಷನ್ ಕಾನೂನು ಪ್ರಕಾರ ತೀರ್ಮಾನ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಆದರೆ ಆ ಕೇಸುಗಳ ವಿಷಯ ನನಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧ ಪಟ್ಟದ್ದಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪುಟ್ಟಸ್ವಾಮಿ.—ತಾವು ನನ್ನ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗೆ ಒದಗಿಸಿರುವ ನೋಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ತಿಳಿಸಿರುವ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ನಾವು ಉಪಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕೇಳುವ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಆ ಕೇಸುಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ವಿಚಾರ ಕೇಳಿದರೆ ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ತಾವು ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡುವಿರಾ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—If the Hon'ble Member has read the rules, he cannot jumble up questions.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪುಟ್ಟಸ್ವಾಮಿ.—ಕೆಲವು ಲ್ಯಾಂಡ್ ಅಕ್ವಿಜಿಷನ್ ಕೇಸುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಆಪ್ತರು ತೀರ್ಮಾನ ಕೊಟ್ಟ ಮೇಲೂ ಪುನಃ ಅದನ್ನು ಬದಲಾವಣೆ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅವಕಾಶವಿದೆಯೇ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—As I said, separate questions have to be put.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಶಂಕರಲಿಂಗೇಗೌಡ (ನಾಗಮಂಗಲ).—ಹಿಂದೆ ಇದ್ದ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಒಂದು ತಪ್ಪನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿದ್ದರೆ ಆ ರೀತಿ ಮಾಡಬಾರದೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿ ರಿವಿಜ್‌ಗೆ ಪಿಟಿಷನ್ ಹಾಕುವುದೂ ತಪ್ಪೇ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—That is too general a question. The law is very clear on that.

Discussion on matter arising out of Answers to Question.

'Mr. SPEAKER.—Sri K. Puttaswamy has given notice under rule 39 that he desires to raise for discussion the subject matter of this very question relating to the orders passed up to the end of March 1952 by the previous Ministry, which were kept in abeyance or modified and to which answers have been furnished today just now. In view of the answers given I do not know what the Hon'ble Member would like to do.'

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪುಟ್ಟಸ್ವಾಮಿ.—ಏನೂ ಬೇಡ ಸ್ವಾಮಿ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Thanks.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಹುಚ್ಚೇಗೌಡ (ತುರುವೇಕೆರೆ).—ನಾನೊಂದು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಕೇಳಬೇಕಾಗಿತ್ತು.....

Mr. SPEAKER.—You are very slow ; that question is over.

QUESTIONS FOR ANSWERS ON THE DAY.

(Not taken up)

Report on Land Reforms Committee.

Q.—219. Sri B. V. NARAYANA REDDY (Bagepalli-Gudibanda).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

What action they propose to take on the Gundappa Gowda Committee Report on Land Reforms?

A.—Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA (Minister for Revenue and Public Works).—

The Report of the Committee is under examination and action is being taken on the several recommendations of the L.R.R. Committee.

Audit of the accounts of the Local Self-Government Institutions.

Q.—520. Sri B. N. BORANNA GOWDA (Belur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) the procedure adopted for the audit of the accounts of the Local Self-Government Institutions in the State, viz., Municipalities and District Boards;

(b) once in how many years auditing is being done;

(c) whether there are any accounts in the District Boards and Municipalities which have not been audited for over ten years;

(d) how many years back the accounts of the District Board, Hassan, were audited?

A.—Sri T. CHANNIAH (Minister for Public Health and Local Self-Government).—

(a) The audit of the accounts of Local Bodies is entrusted to the Controller, State Accounts Department, who is assisted by three Circle Officers having jurisdiction over three districts each as noted below. Detailed local audit of the accounts of the institutions is conducted by the Auditors of the Department under the general supervision of the Controller and Circle Officers :—

Bangalore Circle.—Bangalore, Kolar and Tumkur Districts;

Mysore Circle.—Mysore, Hassan and Mandya Districts;

Shimoga Circle.—Shimoga, Chitaldrug and Chikmagalur Districts.

(b) Audit is conducted once a year.

(c) No.

(d) The accounts of the District Board, Hassan, for the years 1949-50 and 1950-51 are now under audit.

PRIVILEGE MOTION.

re ANSWER TO QUESTION No. 217.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Sri J. Mohamed Imam and Sri S. Srinivasa Iyengar have given notice of a Privilege motion in the following terms :—

“ This House resolves that the answer furnished by the Government to Question No. 217 (Part III) referring the members of this House to the various previous Budget statements, instead of giving specific answers on each point raised therein, constitutes a breach of privilege of members of this House ”.

Under rule 173 (4) of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business, it is the duty of the Speaker to first determine whether the motion involves a *prima facie* case of privilege before referring the question to the Committee of Privileges.

I might at once state that the Chair has no responsibility in regard to answers furnished by the Government. The Chair has no authority to insist that answer be given or that any particular or specific answer be furnished to any question. There are several rulings of other Legislatures and even of our Legislature in the past on this question, but I may refer to only one of them. In the Central Legislative Assembly on the 20th February 1939 when a member objected to a particular answer and asked the Chair “ May I ask, Sir, as regard the way in which these questions are answered that you should direct the Government to answer the questions that are put to them? ”, the President observed “ Hon’ble Member knows very well that the Chair has no such function at all. If the Hon’ble Member finds that the answer is not satisfactory it is up to him to find any remedy he can. The Chair has no authority in the matter. ”